STATE OF CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL REVIEW COUNCIL

COMPLAINT FORM

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE, FAMILY SUPPORT MAGISTRATE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSIONER

This form is designed to provide the Council with information necessary to review your complaint of LEASE READ THE "GUIDELINES FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM" REFFRENCED IN THE ACCOMPANYING BROCHURE, AND REFER TO THE ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION HANDBOOK EXPLAINING THE COUNCIL'S FUNCTION, JURISDICTION, AND PROCEDURES BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO COMPLETE THIS FORM.

PLEASE NOTE: COMPLAINTS MUST BE TYPED OR LEGIBLY HAND PRINTED, DATED, SIGNED, AND NOTARIZED BEFORE IT WILL BE CONSIDERED. RETAIN A COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS, AS COMPLAINTS AND DOCUMENTATION SHALL BECOME THE PROPERTY OF THE COUNCIL AND CANNOT BE RETURNED.

i.	Person making complaint					
	Name Shaw (Last)	Christopher (First)	(Middle)	(Date of Birth)		
	Address 50 Nunnawack (Street)	Newtonin (City)	(State)	<u>C6470</u> (Zip)		
	Telephone () (Day)		(Evenin	g)		
2.	Person against whom complaint	is made				
	Name Holden (Last)	William (First)	(N	Middle)		
	Judge Family Support Mag	gistrate Workers	s' Compensation	n Commissioner		
3.	Statement of facts					
	Please describe, in detail, the conduct which you believe constitutes judicial misconduct, including names, dates, places, addresses, and telephone numbers that may assist the Council in processing your complaint.					
	If additional space is required, attach and number additional one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" pages.					
	PROVIDE COPIES OF TRANSC	CRIPTS AND/OR ANY	DOCUMENTS	YOU BELIEVE SUPPORT		

YOUR CLAIM THAT THE JUDGE, FAMILY SUPPORT MAGISTRATE, OR WORKERS'

COMPENSATION COMMISSIONER HAS ENGAGED IN JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT.

2

4. Additional Information

Date:	Time:Location:				
lf your co	mplaint arises out of a court case, please answer the following questions:				
(1) W	hat is the name and docket number of the case?				
Ca	ase Name: State V. Christopher Show Case No. S.C. 18267				
(2) W	/hat kind of case is it?				
Ci	ivil Criminal Family Juvenile Other				
	(List)				
(3) W	/hat is your relationship to the case?				
_	plaintiff/petitionerdefendant/respondent				
	attorney for				
	witness for				
	other (specify, i.e. observer, relative)				
If you we	were represented by an attorney in this matter at the time of the claimed miscore identify the attorney:				
Name:	Alice Osedach - Appellate Attorney				
Address:	Alice Osedach - Appellate Attorney 2911 Dixwell Ave, 4th floor Hamden, C.T.				
Telephon	0(4,160				
If the opp	osing party was represented by an attorney, please identify the attorney:				
Name:					

3

(e)	Identify any (other witnesses to	the conduct abou	t which you c	omplain:		
(6)	Name(s):	Suprence	Court Clerk	office_			
	Addresses:	231 rapit	al Aue		_		
		Hartford	J. C. C. C. C.	<u>(0</u> –			
	Telephone:	(860) 75	7-2200				·
						*:	
l declare, u above and c	nder the penal	ties of perjury, the pages are true	nat, to the best of and correct.	my knowledg	ge and belie	f, the statem	nents made
		Signe	1 1 1	Ton-	<i>></i>		
			7th	day of _	Max	ch	2012
Subscribed	and swom to b	efore me this		day of _	Monti	1	Year
			La Mais	AdMi	See	Nota	t L. Melms ary Public a Expires 10/31/2013
			Notary Public Commissioner of	the Superior	Court or		

Justice of the Peace

Send your signed and notarized complaint to:

Judicial Review Council 505 Hudson Street P. O. Box 260099 Hartford, CT 06126-0099

Revised November 1, 2004

Statement of facts

I was tried and convicted in Front of Judge William Holden in the Superior court in the Tudicial District of New Haven. The trial took place during February and March 2008. On August 7, 2008 I filed an appeal of my Convictions CROOL51313 which is now docket number S.C. 18207. Alice Osedach is my Attorney en my appeal. On Jone 26, 2009 she filed a motion for articulation which was necessary to present the record for an appeal On December 1, 2009, the Supreme court clerk's office sent Judge Holden a reminder notice and he did not respond On February 11, 2010 my attorney filed a motion for order requesting that the court order Judge Holden to file an articulation and he did not respond. On June 10, 2011 my attorney tiled a second Motion to order and Judge Holden did not respond on July 7,2011, a little over 2 years after my appellate counsel first filed an articles Judge Holden responded. My appeal was delayed over 2 years because Judge Holden had not tiled an articulation.

Under the Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3, it is required that "the Judicial duties of a Judge take precedence over all the Judge's other activities." It is required that a Judge perform his dutie diligently Canon 3(5) states that "a Judge should dispose



	promptly of the business of the Court!
	The commentary states that the court be "expeditions in determining matters under submission
	Judge William Holden has not answered the lasticulation that was filed on June 26, 2009
	To my determent the court has unfairly delayed
	my appeal, by 2 years
	-
	<u> </u>
: :	

FILE NO. J2012-30

JUDICIAL REVIEW COUNCIL

IN RE THE HONORABLE

HARTFORD, CONNNECTICUT

WILLIAM HOLDEN

MAY 16, 2012

CHARGES

1 Violation of C.G.S. 51-51(a)(4)

On diverse dates between July 8, 2009 and July 12, 2011, the Honorable William Holden did violate Connecticut General Statutes Section 51-51i(a)(4) by failing to answer in a timely manner a Motion for Articulation, filed in the case of <u>State v. Christopher Shaw</u>, thereby neglectfully performing the duties of a judge.

Violation of Canon 3(a)(5) of the Code of Judicial Conduct (eff. until December 31, 2010)

On diverse dates between July 8, 2009 and December 31, 2010, the Honorable William Holden did violate Connecticut General Statutes Section 51-51i(a)(2) and Canon 3(a)(5) of the Code of Judicial Conduct by failing to answer in a timely manner a Motion for Articulation, filed in the case of <u>State v. Christopher Shaw</u>, thereby failing to dispose promptly of the business of the court.

3 Violation of Rule 2.5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct (eff. January 1, 2011)

On diverse dates between January 1, 2011 and July 12, 2011, the Honorable William Holden did violate Connecticut General Statutes Section 51-51i(a)(2) and Rule 2.5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct by failing to answer in a timely manner a Motion for Articulation, filed in the case of <u>State v. Christopher Shaw</u>, thereby failing to perform his judicial duties competently and diligently.

VIVE V ZUIZ 13.32 FAA 800 866 6617

Ø 008

JUDICIAL REVIEW COUNCIL

WAYNE R. KEENEY

CHAIRPERSON /